

The impact of quantitative teaching on student performance

Joint Q-Step and British Academy Quantitative Skills Teaching and Learning Symposium

Erik Gahner Larsen, Gianna Maria Eick, Laura Sudulich



#### Erik Gahner Larsen

- Lecturer in Politics and International Relations at the University of Kent
- Affiliated with the Q-Step Centre
- Teaches 'Introduction to Political Science', 'How to Win Arguments with Numbers' and 'Quantitative Research Dissertation'



#### **Research context**

- What we know:
  - (Lack of) quantitative skills amongst British social scientists (MacInness 2009)
  - Need to embed quantitative methods in substantive courses (Adeney and Carey 2009; Adriaensen et al. 2014; Buckley et al. 2015)
  - Important how quantitative methods are taught (Wilder 2010; King and Sen 2013)
- What we do not know:
  - The implications of quantitative teaching on general study skills

#### **Research question**

- What is the impact of quantitative teaching on student performance?
- Specifically, is Q-Step making students perform better?
  - In general, not only in quantitative modules
  - Why?
    - (Good) quantitative teaching is not only about knowing how to collect and use quantitative data
    - Analytical and critical thinking transferable skills

# **Kent Self-Study**

- Longitudinal survey
- Initiated with Q-Step in 2015
- Annual responses from about 4,000 students across all years and schools at Kent
- Compatible with national evaluation
- Serves quantitative teaching and evaluation beyond Q-Step
- Links to admin data: performance and background data, non-response weights
- First step: Using the admin data
  - Data on student performance



#### **Method and data**

- Focus: Students within Q-Step Schools
  - Comparability and meaningful inferences (ATT)
- Challenge: All politics and sociology students are affected by Q-Step (everybody is treated)
- We study the effect of having at least one optional Q-Step module in addition to the mandatory ones
- Matching to create balance on a series of covariates (including gender, age, parents' education level and nationality)

# Method and data

Programme:	BA Sociology with Quantitative	BBA Business Administration with		
	Research	Business Analytics		
	BA Social Policy with Quantitative			
	Research			
	BA Criminology with Quantitative			
	Research			
	BA Politics and International			
	Relations with Quantitative			
	Research			
	LLB Law with Quantitative Research			
Year 1:	Quant GROUP Summer School			
Year 2:	How to Win Arguments with	How to Win Arguments with Numbers		
	Numbers			
		AND a choice of:		
	AND			
		The Power and Limits of Causal Analysis		
	The Power and Limits of Causal	OR		
	Analysis	Introduction to Big Data		
Year 3:	Advanced Quantitative Dissertation (30 credits)			
	OR			
	Quantitative Placement Module (30 credits)			

### **Method and data**

Table 1: Summary statistics							
Statistic	Ν	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max		
Average grade	692	61.795	14.358	0	78.66		
Optional Q-Step module	700	0.079	0.269	0	1		
Male	700	0.369	0.483	0	1		
Age	700	20.427	1.956	18	30		
Parent, HE	632	0.581	0.494	0	1		
British nationalit v	700	0.733	0.443	0	1		



#### **Results**

- Average effect: 7.4
- Optional Q-Step module:
  - 68.5
- No optional Q-Step Module:
  - 61.1



#### **Results**

Table 2: Effect of optional Q-Step module on average grades in 2017, OLS regressions								
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4				
Optional Q-Step module	7.1*** (2.0)	7.0*** (2.1)	7.4*** (2.3)	8.3*** (2.3)				
Constant	61.2*** (0.6)	63.9*** (1.0)	61.1*** (0.9)	63.5*** (1.5)				
Observations	692	625	346	346				
Individual controls	No	Yes	No	Yes				
Matching	No	No	Yes	Yes				
* p < 0.1, * p < 0.05, * p < 0.01.								

#### **Placebo tests**

- Placebo test to ensure that students taking Q-Step modules where not doing better in their studies prior to the Q-Step modules
- No evidence that students taking up optional Q-Step modules do better in *general* modules (first year mandatory modules)
  - In other words: Limited evidence of a self-section bias



# **Discussion**

- Kent Self-Study is useful to evaluate the Q-Step initiative
- Longitudinal analysis, focus groups, comparisons amongst other Q-Step Centres
- Further empirical work needed to fully understand the impact of Q-Step
- Specific challenges/trade-offs
  - Counterfactuals
  - Causality
  - Data availability
  - Evaluation versus outreach

# Bringing together our knowledge of teaching quantitative methods

#### WHAT Workshop for Q-step PhDs

- » Day 1: Q-step students present their research
- » Day 2: Towards a curriculum for teaching quantitative methods
- WHAT FOR To give Q-step PhD students the opportunity to exchange their learning and ideas about teaching quantitative methods and to link it to existing pedagogy on teaching HE students
- WHEN and WHERE 13<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> of September 2018 University of Kent
- WHAT YOU NEED TO DO NOW:
  - Let all the PhD students in the participating Q-step centres know
  - Perhaps volunteer to be involved in one of the sessions

University of Kent

# Draft programme

- 9.30am Welcome
- 9.45am Plenary: The first meeting with statistics best practice
- 10.30am Workshops: Dealing with non-attendance, Maths/quants anxiety/ uneven ability levels
- 11.15am Break
- 11.30am Plenary: Integration into quants and substantive module
- 12.15pm Lunch
- 1pm Workshops: large modules, assessment, advanced methods
- 1.45pm Plenary: Placement preparing students, placement hosts and assessments
- 2.30pm Final discussion: Towards a curriculum for teaching quantitative methods
- Contact: Tina Haux (<u>T.Haux@kent.ac.uk</u>) or Rima Saini (<u>Rima.Saini.1@city.ac.uk</u>)

University of Kent